

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,509	02/27/2004	Steven W. Fowkes	STFO-00200	7424
28960	7590 10/26/2005		EXAMINER	
	OCK & OWENS LLP WOLFE ROAD	•	BOYKIN, TERRESSA M	
	LE, CA 94086		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/788,509	FOWKES, STEVEN W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Terressa M. Boykin	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa	e			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-2-05</u> .	6)				

Art Unit: 1711

Response to applicantsl arguments.

Applicants arguments filed 7-5-05 have been fullly considered but they are not persuasive.

It should be noted that applicant's response, although appreciated, does not assist in the expedient prosecuting of the claimed invention in that the Examiner continues to be unable to clearly define the invention in order that an appropriate and more exact search be accomplished. It is the desire of the Examiner to thoroughly search the invention. However, as the applicant should be aware, it is through the joint effort of both the Examiner and the applicants.

35 USC 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The meaning of every term used in a claim should be apparent from the prior art or from the specification and drawing at the time the application filed in order to achieve a complete exploration of the applicants' invention and its relation to the prior art. In re Zletz., 893 F. 2d 319, 13 USPQ2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989) In the instant case, Applicants claim 1 etc. does not define the invention in terms that clearly define the invention. The recited "system" has numerous definitions and intended meanings in the art. It is also noted, while a term used in the claims may be given a special meaning in

Art Unit: 1711

the description of the invention, generally no term may be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of the term. <u>In re Hill</u>, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947).

Page 3

35 USC 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1- 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the broadly defined "system" the specification on page 2-5 specifically discloses particularly defined polymers, block polymers, heterocyclic structures and multi-cyclic structures that are not commensurate in scope with these claims.

Although the CCPA has criticized the use of the characterization "too broad" or "undue breadth"....however, an application whose claim(s) are of a breadth which are not adequately supported by its specification is in violation of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. In re Borkowski et al., (CCPA 1970) 424 F2d 904; In re Wakefield, (CCPA 1970 422 F2d 897; In re Hammack, (CCPA 197).

Correspondence

Please note that the <u>cited</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, <u>all</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (<u>www.uspto.gov < http://www.uspto.gov></u>), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants may be referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at < http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html> or 1-866-217-9197.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Terressa Boykin whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/788,509 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

571 272-1069. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 3:00pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The general information number for listings of personnel is (571-272-1700).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free

tmb

TERRESSA M. BOYKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER